

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,432	03/30/200	John T. Forbis	T76611	T76611 5728	
20449	7590 09/	2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
KARL R CANNON			COTTINGHAM, JOHN R		
PO BOX 190 SANDY, U		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
·			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/200	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10-
M	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/814,432	FORBIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R. Cottingham	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address -	; ;;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MON	TH(S) FROM	g
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 15 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communicatio ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters	prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-37 and 53-63</u> is/are pending in the	application.		•1
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		<del>,</del>
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			:
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>25-37 53-63</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		;
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	·	d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		9(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	eived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/814,432

Art Unit: 3679

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 25-37, 53-58 drawn to a fence system, classified in class 256, subclass 65.1.
  - II. Claims 59-63, drawn to a method of assembling the fence, classified in class 29, subclass 492+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the fence system can be built with a rail having a channel extending the entire length of the rail.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 25, 53, and 57 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising
  - I. Figures 2B and 2C
  - II. Figures 2d and 2E.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,432

Art Unit: 3679

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Karl R. Cannon on 9/23/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John R. Cottingham whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/814,432 Päge 4

Art Unit: 3679

306-3439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John R. Cottingham Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679